## 3 4 5 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 7 FOR THURSTON COUNTY 8 9 STATE OF WASHINGTON, Plaintiff, No. 10 VS. MOTION IN SUPPORT OF PETITION 11 FOR CERTIFICATE AND ORDER OF 12 DISCHARGE (RCW §9.94A.637) Defendant. 13 14 15 **MOTION** 16 Comes now \_\_\_\_\_, by and through his attorney, M. Rose Spidell, in the above 17 captioned matter and moves this Court for a certificate and order of discharge effective October 18 29, 2001, pursuant to RCW 9.94A.637. This motion is based on the following memorandum of 19 points and authorities below, the court records, files, exhibits, and any argument presented at a 20 hearing on this motion. 21 22 23 24

Motion in Support of Petition for Certificate and Order of Discharge -- 1

1

2

25

26

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF WASHINGTON FOUNDATION 705 Second Avenue, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98104-1799 (206) 624-2184

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

Introduction A.

seeks restoration of his fundamental constitutional right to vote. In Washington state, an offender is entitled to discharge and restoration of civil rights upon completion of all requirements of his sentence. RCW 9.94A.637.

On January 9, 1991, at the age of 19, was convicted of theft in the second degree. As of October 29, 2001, had satisfied all requirements imposed on him in the Judgment and Sentence for that conviction, including the payment of restitution and other legal financial obligations, entitling to discharge and restoration of his civil rights. For 17 years, has remained free of further criminal convictions, and he has maintained steady employment for many years. now moves this Court for a certificate and order of discharge with an effective date of October 29, 2001. The undisputed facts demonstrate that had completed all conditions of his judgment and sentence as of October 29, 2001. Thus, pursuant to RCW 9.94A.637, which requires a court to discharge an offender upon completion of all conditions of the sentence, is entitled to discharge effective October 29, 2001.

## B. Facts Relevant to Motion

The following undisputed facts show full completion of the sentencing requirements in this case. They are established by the following documents: Judgment and Sentence, (docket sub-number 15, filed January 9, 1991); a Notice of Violation from the Department of Corrections, dated January 26, 2001; a letter from Melanie A. Smith, Cost of Supervision Program Administrator for Department of Corrections, dated August 10, 2006; an Order Waiving Interest, (docket sub-number 75, filed October 29, 2001); and a Case Financial History, dated July 18, 2007, attached hereto as Exhibits A, B, C, D and E.

Motion in Support of Petition for Certificate and Order of Discharge -- 2 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF WASHINGTON FOUNDATION 705 Second Avenue, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98104-1799 (206) 624-2184

The Judgment and Sentence. Exhibit A, required to: (a) serve 15 days in confinement, converted to 120 hours of community service; (b) complete 12 months of community supervision; (c) pay a total of \$1.612.00 in legal financial obligations (\$70.00 court costs, \$100.00 victim penalty assessment, \$1,442 restitution); and (d) have no contact nor go on the property of William Webster. Theft in the second degree is a class C felony, and, as such, the no-contact order was effective for five years, expiring on January 9, 1996, thus completing condition (d). On January 26, 2001, the Department of Corrections submitted a Notice of Violation, Exhibit B. The Notice indicated that had paid all court-ordered legal financial obligations in full, except for \$414.08 in accrued interest, and he owed \$330.00 in costs of supervision fees. The only violation asserted at the time of the Notice was "Failure to make payments towards Court-ordered legal financial obligations as required." Notice of Violation, at 1. However, the Notice also stated that "adjustment to monetary supervision has been very good." Id. The Department recommended that a hearing be scheduled, and noted that the supervision period expired on January 8, 2001, but also stated that "should the Court determine it is not cost effective to continue supervision, an Order Terminating Supervision is attached." Id. at 2. Because the only violation noted at the time of the Notice was failure to pay accrued interest on legal financial obligations, and that violation was subsequently satisfied, conditions (a) and (b) of the sentence were completed at the time of the Notice.

A letter from Melanie A. Smith, Cost of Supervision Program Administrator for Department of Corrections, dated August 10, 2006, Exhibit C, confirms that the outstanding costs of supervision were waived. On October 29, 2001, in the Order Waiving Interest, Exhibit D, the Court waived the remaining accrued interest owed on the legal financial obligations, satisfying the only outstanding obligation from the Judgment and Sentence. Additionally, the Case Financial History, dated July 18, 2007, Exhibit E, further indicates payment of

all legal financial obligations, satisfying condition (c). Thus, all requirements of the Judgment and Sentence were satisfied as of October 29, 2001 and a certificate and order of discharge should issue with an effective date of October 29, 2001.

## C. Legal Argument

1. Because RCW 9.94A.637 Requires Issuance of a Discharge Upon Completion of All Sentencing Conditions, Is Entitled to Discharge Effective October 29, 2001, the Date by which He Completed All Conditions of His Sentence.

Pursuant to RCW 9.94A.637, is entitled to discharge effective October 29, 2001, the date by which he completed all sentencing conditions. Contrary to what the State may argue in this case, entitlement to discharge is not triggered by this motion for entry of a certificate of discharge. Rather, under the plain language of the statute, it is the *completion of sentencing conditions* which entitles an offender to discharge. RCW 9.94A.637. Thus, although brings this motion in February 2008, it is October 29, 2001, the date by which he completed all sentencing conditions, which should be his effective date of discharge.

At the end of his supervision with the Department, had completed all the requirements of his sentence except his legal financial obligations. In relevant part, RCW 9.94A.637 provides:

When an offender has reached the end of his or her supervision with the department and has completed all the requirements of the sentence except his or her legal financial obligations, the secretary's designee shall provide the county clerk with a notice that the offender has completed all nonfinancial requirements of the sentence.

When the department has provided the county clerk with notice that an offender has completed all the requirements of the sentence and the offender subsequently satisfies all legal financial obligations under the sentence, the county clerk shall

notify the sentencing court, including the notice from the department, which shall discharge the offender and provide the offender with a certificate of discharge by issuing the certificate to the offender in person or by mailing the certificate to the offender's last known address.

RCW 9.94A.637(b)(i) and (ii). This statute provides for automatic restoration of rights upon completion of all sentencing requirements; the issuance of the discharge is not discretionary, and does not require any affirmative action by the offender. <u>Id.</u>

Pursuant to the plain language of RCW 9.94A.637, "restoration is automatic once the offender completes his sentence requirements." State v. Swanson, 116 Wn. App. 67, 74, 65 P.3d 343. rev. denied, 150 Wn.2d 1006 (2003) (construing a similarly worded statute directing restoration of firearm rights upon completion of certain conditions). By establishing the automatic procedures for issuance of a certificate and order of discharge upon completion of sentence requirements, the statute ensures that discharge and restoration of rights will not be dependent upon the fortuitous ability of an offender to: (1) learn of the failure of the Department and/or county clerk to inform the Court of completion of sentencing requirements as they are required to do by statute; (2) gather all relevant documents to prove completion of sentencing requirements; and (3) navigate complicated court procedures and move for entry of the certificate of discharge.

In order to ensure the timely restoration of rights, the legislature has put the obligation of informing the Court of completion of sentence requirements in the hands of the Department of Corrections and the county clerks. RCW 9.94A.637(a), (b), and (c). The statute provides that once the sentencing requirements are completed, the county clerk "shall notify the sentencing court . . . which shall discharge the offender." RCW 9.94A.637(b)(ii) (emphasis added). Only in circumstances where an offender has outstanding nonfinancial sentence requirements following termination of supervision by the Department, is the burden placed on the offender to provide

verification to the court of completion of those requirements. RCW 9.94A.637(c). (That is not the circumstance here where had only financial obligations outstanding following the termination of supervision). Even in those cases, the responsibility of informing the court of completion of legal financial obligations remains with the county clerk. <u>Id.</u>

Thus, under the plain language of RCW 9.94A.637, is entitled to discharge effective October 29, 2001, the date by which he completed all conditions of his sentence.

2. Legislative History and Analogous Case Law Further Demonstrate that the Effective Date of Discharge is the Date Upon Which All Sentencing Conditions are Satisfied, Not the Date Upon Which a Motion is Brought Seeking Issuance of a Certificate of Discharge.

The legislative history behind the discharge statute reflects the legislature's intent that the right to vote be restored upon completion of the requirements of a sentence, and that offenders receive timely notice of this restoration of rights. In 2002, an introduction to amendments to the discharge statute stated:

The legislature recognizes that an individual's right to vote is a hallmark of a free and inclusive society and that it is in the best interests of society to provide reasonable opportunities and processes for an offender to regain the right to vote after completion of all of the requirements of his or her sentence. The legislature intends to clarify the method by which the court may fulfill its already existing direction to provide discharged offenders with their certificates of discharge.

2002 Laws, Chapter 16, § 1. These 2002 amendments implicitly assumed that a discharge would be issued and rights were in fact being automatically restored as mandated by statute, and addressed only the process by which offenders are notified of that restoration of rights. See Final S.B. Rep. on SSB 6240. 57th Leg., Reg. Sess., at 1 (Wash. 2002) (noting that "concerns have been raised that offenders who have completed all the requirements of their sentence and parole may not be aware that they have had the right to vote restored." "This bill is intended to help give

notice to offenders that the right to vote has been restored."). The legislature clearly did not intend to condition the right to discharge on the fortuitous ability of an offender to identify the failure of the automatic restoration process and petition for discharge. Rather, this legislative history further demonstrates that it is *completion of the requirements of the sentence*, which triggers the right to discharge and restoration of voting rights.

This reading of RCW 9.94A.637 is further supported by the Washington Supreme Court's decision in State v. T.K., 139 Wn.2d 320, 987 P.2d 63 (1999). In T.K., the court construed RCW 13.50.050, relating to vacation and sealing of juvenile court records. Based on the mandatory language in the statute, the Court held that it was the date by which a person completed all conditions set by the statute, *not* the date on which a motion was made seeking vacation and sealing, that triggered the entitlement to the benefits of the statue. Id. at 331. T.K. involved claims of three juveniles who were eligible for vacation of their records before a 1997 amendment to the statute, but who moved for relief after the amendments became effective. Id. at 322-23. To determine whether their records were properly vacated and sealed, the Supreme Court reviewed the language of the statute at issue. Prior to the amendment, RCW 13.50.050 directed that a court "shall grant" a motion to seal records upon satisfaction of certain enumerated conditions. Id. at 331. The Court explained that,

[T]he statute both before and after the 1997 amendments says the court 'shall' grant a motion to seal, imposing a mandatory obligation to seal if a juvenile meets the statutory conditions. Accordingly, once the conditions of the statute are met, the defendant has a right to relief and a court has the nondiscretionary obligation to seal records <u>regardless</u> of when the motion is made.

<u>T.K.</u>, 139 Wn.2d at 331 (citing <u>State v. Webster</u>, 69 Wn. App. 376, 378-79, 848 P.2d 1300 (1993)) (emphasis added).

Similarly, RCW 9.94A.637 provides that a court "shall discharge the offender" and provide a certificate of discharge when all conditions of a sentence have been satisfied. RCW 9.94A.637(1)(b)(ii). Because RCW 9.94A.637 imposes a nondiscretionary obligation on the court to issue a discharge once statutory conditions are completed, the effective date of discharge must be determined according to when those conditions were completed, regardless of when a motion is made.

The record demonstrates that completed all requirements of his sentence as of October 29, 2001. Pursuant to RCW 9.94A.637, he is entitled to discharge effective on that date.

## For the reasons stated above, has satisfied all requirements of his sentence in 2 3 this case and is entitled to a Certificate of and Order of Discharge with an effective date of 4 October 29, 2001, pursuant to RCW 9.94A.637. A proposed Certificate and Order of Discharge 5 is attached hereto as Exhibit F. DATED this 25 day of February, 2008. 6 7 8 9 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF WASHINGTON FOUNDATION 10 11 12 Staff Attorney 13 14 Attorneys for Defendant For the limited purpose of obtaining a 15 Certificate and Order of Discharge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

D.

1

26

Conclusion