FILED LODGED
RECEIVED

MAR - 6 2009

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA
DEPUTY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

9 10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

1

2

4

14

Plaintiff.

PHILIP CHINN,

٧.

21 BENJAMIN BLANKENSHIP,

individually and in his capacity as a TROOPER of the WASHINGTON

24 STATE PATROL and JANE DOE

25 BLANKENSHIP, and the marital

community thereof; RICHARD C.PIGMON, individually and In his

28 Official capacity as a TROOPER of

29 the WASHINGTON STATE PATROL

30 and JANE DOE PIGMON, and the

31 Marital community thereof; MARK S.

32 SVINTH individually and in his

33 Official Capacity as a TROOPER

34 of the WASHINGTON STATE PATROL)

35 and JANE DOE SVINTH and the

36 Marital community thereof;

37 JONATHAN P. PITTS, individually

38 and in his Official capacity as a

39 TROOPER of the WASHINGTON

40 STATE PATROL, and JANE DOE

41 PITTS and the Marital Community

CHINN V, CITY OF SEATTLE-COMPLAINT

LAWRENCE A. HILDES (WSBA # 35035) P.O. Box 5405 Bellingham, WA 98227

Telephone: (360) 715-9788 Fax: (360) 714-1791

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

7-5242

5.8 %

) NO.
) COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF
) CIVIL RIGHTS, FALSE ARREST, FALSE
) IMPRISONMENT, MALICIOUS
) PROSECUTION, BATTERY, ASSAULT,
) INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF
) EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, NEGLIGENCE
) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	thereof; CARRIE A. GORDON Individually and in her Official capacity as a TROOPER of the WASHINGTON STATE PATROL and JOHN DOE GORDON and the Marital community thereof; CITY OF ABERDEEN, COUNTY OF GRAYS HARBOR, DOES 1-250,
10	Defendants.)
12 13	PHILIP CHINN, the Plaintiff herein, by and through his attorney, alleges as follows:
14 15 16	I. JURISDICTION
17 18	1. This court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to
19	Title 28, United States Code Sections 1331, 1332, 1343, and 1367, and venue is
20	properly set in the Western District Federal Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391.
21	2. The claims upon which this suit is based occurred in this judicial district.
22	3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the
23	named Defendants reside in this judicial district.
24	
25	II. PARTIES
6	1.1 Plaintiff PHILIP CHINN is a single man residing primarily in the State of
7	Washington, in Thurston County within the Western District of Washington. Plaintiff
8	was the victim of a targeted stop on false pretest because of police perceptions of his

2

1	political affiliations.	C 1 1		. I	
	DOMECH STREET	Tales arreet	Talea imprientmai	nt aveassive tares	maiicious
J.	Pontout allinations.	raise airesi.		111, 680000110 10100	, illianologo

- 2 prosecution based on the false arrest and police false statements concerning the
- 3 circumstances of the arrest and violations of his First, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, and 14th
- 4 Amendment Rights.
- 5 1.2 Defendant BENJAMIN BLANKENSHIP and JANE DOE BLANKENSHIP
- 6 constitute a marital community under the laws of the State of Washington and upon
- 7 belief reside in Grays Harbor County within the Western District of Washington State.
- 8 Upon belief, BENJAMIN BLANKENSHIP is and was at the time of the injuries
- 9 complained of in this complaint, an employee and/or agent of the WASHINGTON
- 10 STATE PATROL (hereinafter identified as WSP) acting within the scope of his duties.
- 1.3 Defendant RICHARD C. PIGMON and JANE DOE PIGMON constitute a
- marital community under the laws of the State of Washington and upon belief reside in
- 13 Grays Harbor County within the Western District of Washington State. Upon
- 14 knowledge and belief, RICHARD C. PIGMON is and was at the time of the injuries
- 15 complained of in this complaint, an employee and/or agent of the WASHINGTON
- 16 STATE PATROL (hereinafter identified as WSP) acting within the scope of his duties.
- 17 1.4 Defendant MARK S. SVINTH and JANE DOE SVINTH constitute a marital
- 18 community under the laws of the State of Washington and upon belief reside in Grays
- 19 Harbor County within the Western District of Washington State. Upon belief, MARK S.
- 20 SVINTH is and was at the time of the injuries complained of in this complaint, an

1	employee and/or agent	of the WASHINGTON	STATE PATROL	(hereinafter identified
---	-----------------------	-------------------	--------------	-------------------------

- 2 as WSP) acting within the scope of his duties.
- 3 1.5 Defendant JONATHAN P. PITTS and JANE DOE PITTS constitute a
- 4 marital community under the laws of the State of Washington and upon belief reside in
- 5 Grays Harbor County within the Western District of Washington State. Upon belief,
- 6 JONATHAN P. PITTS is and was at the time of the injuries complained of in this
- 7 complaint, an employee and/or agent of the WASHINGTON STATE PATROL
- 8 (hereinafter identified as WSP) acting within the scope of his duties.
- 9 1.6 Defendant CARRIE A. GORDON and JOHN DOE GORDON constitute a
- 10 marital community under the laws of the State of Washington and upon belief reside in
- 11 Grays Harbor County within the Western District of Washington State. Upon belief,
- 12 CARRIE A. GORDON is and was at the time of the injuries complained of in this
- complaint, an employee and/or agent of the WASHINGTON STATE PATROL
- 14 (hereinafter identified as WSP) acting within the scope of her duties.
- 1.7 DEFENDANT CITY OF ABERDEEN is a government entity in GRAYS
- 16 HARBOR COUNTY governed and functioning under the laws of the State of
- 17 Washington. It employs John and Jane Doe Officers of the ABERDEEN POLICE
- 18 DEPARTMENT and other police officers whose identities are unknown, who were
- 19 involved in PLANNING THE SURVEILLANCE, HARASSMENT, CROWD CONTROL,
- and other functions at and on the roads around the demonstration at which Defendant
- 21 was arrested on the way to, and participated in the detention, false arrest, false

LAWRENCE A. HILDES (WSBA # 35035) P.O. Box 5405

Bellingham, WA 98227 Telephone: (360) 715-978

Telephone: (360) 715-9788 Fax: (360) 714-1791

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

imprisonment, and use of excessive and unnecessary force against Plaintiff and the
 resulting malicious prosecution.

3 1.8 DEFENDANT COUNTY OF GRAYS HARBOR is a government entity in 4 GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY governed and functioning under the laws of the State of 5 Washington. It employs John and Jane Doe Officers of the COUNTY OF GRAYS 6 HARBOR and other officials and police officers whose identities are unknown, who 7 were involved in PLANNING THE SURVEILLANCE, HARASSMENT, CROWD 8 CONTROL, and other functions at and on the roads leading to the demonstration at 9 which Defendant was arrested on the way to, and participated in the detention, false 10 arrest, false imprisonment, and use of excessive and unnecessary force against 11 Plaintiff and the resulting malicious prosecution, and or participated in the charging 12 and malicious prosecution of Plaintiff

1.9 There are other persons, identities presently unknown to Plaintiff who are, and were at all times mentioned herein, supervisors, incident commanders, training, and/or disciplining officers, and/or decision-makers of the WASHINGTON STATE PATROL, ABERDEEN POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND OR GRAYS HARBOR SHERIFF'S OFFICE who acted in concert with the above named Defendants and who devised or approved the police actions responding to the demonstration enroute to which Plaintiff was arrested and/or to Plaintiff in particular and taken in the incident in question thereto that is the subject of this action and in doing the things hereinafter

CHINN V. CITY OF SEATTLE-COMPLAINT

LAWRENCE A. HILDES (WSBA # 35035) P.O. Box 5405 Bellingham, WA 98227 Telephone: (360) 715-9788 Fax: (360) 714-1791

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 1 alleged, acted under color of state law as agents of the WASHINGTON STATE
- 2 PATROL, and with that agency's full consent and approval.
- 3 1.10 DOES 1-250 are, and were at all times mentioned herein, OFFICERS,
- 4 Supervisors, Incident Commander for this incident, involved actors, and elected or
- 5 appointed Police or Governmental Officials Involved In The Planning, Creation,
- 6 Development, training in, policy leading to the surveillance, false stop, false arrest,
- 7 false imprisonment, and or use of force against Plaintiff and the violations of Plaintiff's
- 8 Constitutional Rights in the incident which is the subject of this action, or Exercise Of
- 9 Police Force and Control, and/or failing to discipline officers thus tacitly encouraging
- 10 this incident to occur employed against Plaintiff in the incident which is the subject of
- 11 this action, and in committing the acts and omissions herein alleged hereinafter
- 12 alleged, acted under color of state law as agents of the WASHINGTON STATE
- 13 PATROL, ABERDEEN POLICE DEPARTMENT, GRAYS HARBOR SHERIFF'S
- 14 OFFICE, CITY OF ABERDEEN, COUNTY OF GRAYS HARBOR and/or any political
- subdivision thereof, who came to the scene, and violated Plaintiff's rights as
- 16 discussed.
- 1.11 This action is brought pursuant to the First, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, and
- 18 Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, Article 1, Section 5 and
- 19 Article 1, Section 7 of the Washington State Constitution, 42 U.S.C. 1983, 1988,
- 20 Revised Code of Washington Title 9, Chapter 62, Section 10(1), Washington State

1 common law prohibiting assault, battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, 2 false arrest and false imprisonment, and Washington common law negligence. 3 1.12 On November 28, 2007, counsel for Plaintiff mail-served the State of 4 Washington Office of Financial Management, The City of Aberdeen, and the County of 5 Grays Harbor with notices of claim. The State acknowledged the claim on November 6 30, 2007 and rejected it by letter on January 22, 2008. The Washington Cities 7 Insurance Authority rejected the claim on behalf of the City of Aberdeen on December 8 2007. To date, the County of Grays Harbor has not responded at all. 9 10 II. FACTS 11 2.1 In late April and early May of 2007 the US military loaded and received 12 for loading equipment and material heading to the war in Iraq through, at, and loaded 13 and assisted by the civilian Port of Grays Harbor in Aberdeen, WA. 14 2.2 In response, peaceful and orderly lawful protests were held in the City 15 throughout that time. 16 2.3 At that time, Aberdeen Police Captain Dave Johnson publicly announced 17 that participants in these demonstrations would be "shadowed" and that some of them

Other law enforcement agencies provided pictures and pictures of

license plates of suspected "demonstrators" to WSP and local law enforcement and

were and would be stopped.

2.4

18

19

- 1 many of those individuals were followed and stopped by WSP and local law
- 2 enforcement on contrived and legally unfounded pretexts.
- 2.5 Some of those individuals were given unfounded traffic tickets and or4 threatened.
- 5 2.6 On May 6, 2007, Plaintiff left Olympia to drive to Aberdeen for a peaceful 6 march and demonstration. As he left Olympia, DEFENDANTS WSP TROOPERS 7 JONATHAN P. PITTS and CARRIE A. GORDON who had been conducting 8 surveillance in Olympia, without cause or justification on those alleged to have been 9 intending to participate in the demonstration in question, called in an "attempt to 10 locate" alert on Plaintiff's vehicle giving the make, model, and color of the car and the 11 license plate of it stating that it should be pulled over because the vehicle had "three 12 known anarchists" riding in it, and directed dispatch to alert the officers that stopped 13 the vehicle to notify the Aberdeen Police Department so that their officers could then 14 begin to follow Plaintiff.
 - 2.7 Plaintiff drove carefully, observing all traffic laws as he proceeded towards Aberdeen.
 - 2.8 Defendant WSP TROOPER BENJAMIN BLANKENSHIP spotted

 Plaintiff's car from the Devonshire overpass on SR 12 as Plaintiff drove past, at or
 slightly under the speed limit, identified it as matching the attempt to locate code, and
 began following Plaintiff's car.

15

16

17

18

19

1	2.9. Plaintiff continued to drive in a safe and lawful manner, driving with
. 2	traffic. As traffic grew heavier and slowed down, Plaintiff slowed down with it.
3	2.10. Plaintiff had not consumed any alcohol or controlled substances, and
4	was not impaired in anyway.
5	2.11. Defendant BLANKENSHIP followed Plaintiff's car for several miles,
6	during which time Plaintiff committed no law violations.
7	2.12. Despite the fact that Plaintiff had committed no traffic violations or
8	violations of law, BLANKENSHIP pulled Plaintiff over at milepost 5 in Central Park.
9	2.13. Despite the fact that Plaintiff exhibited no signs of being intoxicated or
10	driving impaired, and no odor or physical evidence of any intoxicating substance
11	whatsoever, BLANKENSHIP insisted on giving Plaintiff a breathalyzer test.
12	2.14. Even though Plaintiff's blood alcohol level registered as 0%, that did not
13	deter BLANKENSHIP. He, by now joined by two other Defendant Troopers,
14	W.S.P.TROOPER RICHARD C. PIGMON and W.S.P.TROOPER MARK S. SVINTH,
15	still insisted that Plaintiff was driving under the influence, and subjected him to a
16	variety of tests.
17	2.15 Plaintiff passed all of the physical tests without difficulty, with the slight
18	exception of a balance test (due to an inner ear infection that he informed Defendants

20 2.16 In spite of that, Defendants BLANKENSHIP, PIGMON and SVINTH,
21 insisted that Plaintiff was under the influence of marijuana, and arrested him and took

CHINN V. CITY OF SEATTLE-COMPLAINT

9

19

of).

1	him off to the Grays Harbor County Jail where he was held for several hours before
2	being released on bail.
3	2.17. The criminal case against Plaintiff was filed based on false information
4	given by Defendants BLANKENSHIP, PIGMON and SVINTH and continued even for
5	many weeks after the lab tests came back negative for all substances, before the
6	Prosecutor's office finally moved to dismiss with prejudice, with a dismissal motion for
7	lack of probable cause having been filed.
8	2.18. Meanwhile, Defendant still has a charge of DUI, a serious offense,
9	permanently on his record, which can be used against him in a variety of ways,
10	despite having not committed any such violation.
11	2.19 The case fundamentally disrupted Plaintiff's life and work, and caused him
12	to have to expend a significant amount of money in attorney's fees.
13	2.20. Plaintiff violated no law, and the arrest was narrowly calculated to justify
14	stopping and harassing Plaintiff and the passengers in his vehivle based solely on
15	supposed political affiliation.
16	2.21. Plaintiff's arrest also deprived him of his First Amendment Right to
17	attend the demonstration in question and was specifically intended to do so.
18	2.22. The improper attempt to locate code, and the stop, detention, and arrest
19	of Plaintiff is and was part of a pattern and practice.
20	

LAWRENCE A. HILDES (WSBA # 35035) P.O. Box 5405 Bellingham, WA 98227 Telephone: (360) 715-9788 Fax: (360) 714-1791

21

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

ı	

2

III. STATEMENT OF DAMAGES

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

3.1 As a direct and proximate result of the intentional and/or negligent acts of Defendants, Plaintiff sustained deprivation of his First and Fourth Amendment Rights, deprivation of liberty, pain and suffering and injury in an amount that will be established at trial.

- 3.2 As a further direct and proximate result of the intentional and/or negligent acts of Defendants, Plaintiff had to retain legal counsel to protect his liberty and vindicate his rights in court at an amount to be established at trial and for which he is entitled to be reimbursed.
- 3.3 As a further direct and proximate result of the intentional and negligent acts of the Defendants, Plaintiff underwent several hours of imprisonment and then wrongful prosecution on a charge with great legal and societal consequences and impact.
- 3.4 Plaintiff is entitled to compensation for the Constitutional and personal harms Defendants inflicted on him, and the chilling effect their actions had on the exercise of his First Amendment Rights.
- 3.5 Plaintiff is entitled to compensation for the bail he was forced to post
 to end his false imprisonment.

21

CHINN V. CITY OF SEATTLE-COMPLAINT

1	IV. CAUSE OF ACTIONS:
2	
3 4 5 6 7	COUNT ONE VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (TITLE 42 U.S.C. SECTION 1983) (As To All Individual Defendants and DOES 1-100)
8	4.1. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations se
9	forth in Paragraphs 1 through 3.5 of this complaint.
10	4.2. In committing the acts complained of herein, Defendants acted under
11	color of state law to deprive Plaintiff as alleged herein, of certain constitutionally
12	protected rights including, but not limited to:
13	(a) The right not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law;
14	(b) The right to be free from invasion or interference with Plaintiff zone of
15	privacy;
16	(c) The right to equal protection of the law;
17	(d) The right to be free from unreasonable search and seizure;
18	(e) The right to be free from police use of excessive force;
19	(f) The right to be free from discriminatory law enforcement;
20	(g) The right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment.
21	(h) The Rights to participate in a peaceful and lawful First Amendment exercise
22	to Freely Express his political views, to Petition the Government for Redress of

- 1 Grievances, to Freely Associate with and Assemble with others to do so without fear
- 2 of wrongful arrest for doing so.
- 3 (i) The right to be free from False Arrest, False Imprisonment, and Malicious
- 4 Prosecution, all in violation of his Fourth and Fifth Amendment Rights.
- 5 4.3 In violating Plaintiff's rights as delineated above, and other rights according
- 6 to proof, Defendants acted by verbal threat and intimidation, use of force, unjustified
- 7 arrest, false imprisonment, or by ratifying personally the above listed conducts, and/or
- 8 cruel and unusual punishment for a crime Plaintiff did not commit, Defendants acted
- 9 to violate Plaintiff's rights under the First, Fourth, Fifth, and Eighth Amendments to the
- 10 U.S. Constitution.
- 4.4 By knowingly providing false information to the prosecutors to ensure that
- 12 Plaintiff was prosecuted, Defendants acted to maliciously prosecute Plaintiff in
- 13 violation of Plaintiff's Fifth and Sixth Amendment Rights.
- 4.5 DOES 1-100 are the other officers involved directly in the incident in
- 15 question.
- 4.6 As a direct and proximate result of the violations of his Constitutional rights
- by Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff suffered general and special damages as
- 18 alleged in this complaint.
- 19 4.7 The conduct of Defendants was willful, malicious, oppressive, and/or
- 20 reckless, and was of such a nature that punitive damages should be imposed in an
- 21 amount commensurate with the wrongful acts alleged herein.

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.
2	
3 4 5 6 7 8	COUNT TWO Violation of Civil Rights (Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1983) (As To DEFENDANTS CITY OF ABERDEEN, COUNTY OF GRAYS HARBOR, and Does 101-250)
9	4.8 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations se
10	forth in Paragraphs 1 through 4.7 of this complaint.
11	4.9 At all times herein mentioned, Defendant City of Aberdeen acted with
12	deliberate intent to harass, disrupt, impede, and prevent intended participants in the
13	demonstrations in question from reaching the demonstrations, and to have them cited
14	and arrested, and created and participated in the creation of an inter-agency policy to
15	do so, failed to properly train, supervise, and discipline officers who engaged in this
16	conduct. All of this directly resulted in the harm to and arrest of Plaintiff, and all of the
17	harm related thereto and following Plaintiff. This policy and actions based thereon
18	deprived Plaintiff of his rights secured by the Constitution of the United States,
19	including, but not limited to his rights under the First, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, and 14th
20	Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and his rights under the Washington State
21	Constitution.
22	4.10. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Grays Harbor County acted
23	with deliberate intent to harass, disrupt, impede, and prevent intended participants in
24	the demonstrations in question from reaching the demonstrations, and to have them

14

- 1 cited and arrested, and created and participated in the creation of an inter-agency
- 2 policy to do so and failed to properly train, supervise, and discipline officers who
- 3 engaged in this conduct. All of this directly resulted in the harm to and arrest of
- 4 Plaintiff, and all of the harm related thereto and following Plaintiff, as well as the
- 5 malicious prosecution of Plaintiff, which was not based on actual evidence and which
- 6 continued even after the last potential evidence was disproved. This policy and
- 7 actions based thereon deprived Plaintiff of his rights secured by the Constitution of the
- 8 United States, including, but not limited to his rights under the First, Fourth, Fifth,
- 9 Eighth, and 14th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and his rights under the
- 10 Washington State Constitution.
- 11 4.11. The Doe supervisors of named Defendants acted deliberately to ratify
- 12 the above-described policy and actions, and participated in the creation and
- implementation of this policy, and failed to properly train, supervise, and discipline
- 14 named Defendants and other involved officers,
- 4.12 In committing the acts complained of herein and in their official and
- individual capacity, Doe Defendants acted with a design and intention to deprive
- 17 Plaintiff of his rights secured by the Constitution of the United States and acted with
- 18 deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's rights.
- 4.13 As a direct and proximate result of the acts complained of herein, Plaintiff
- 20 has suffered general and special damages as set forth in this complaint.

1	4.14 The conduct of Defendants was willful, malicious, oppressive, and/or
2	reckless, and was of such a nature that punitive damages should be imposed in an
3	amount commensurate with the wrongful acts alleged herein.
4 5	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.
6 7 8 9 10	COUNT THREE Violation of Civil Rights (WASHINGTON STATE CONSTITUTION) (As to All Defendants)
12	4.15 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations
13	set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 4.14 of this complaint.
14	4.16 Defendants' totally unjustified stop, detention, and arrest of Plaintiff was
15	unlawful as he had violated no law, and it was based entirely on his intent to engage in
16	legal conduct that is protected as free speech under Article 1 of the Washington State
17	Constitution, Section 5, and Plaintiff violated no law.
18	4.17 Plaintiff was forcibly and illegally arrested simply based on his alleged
19	political associations and affiliations and his intent to exercise his free speech and
20	association rights, Defendants had no legal basis for arresting and charging Plaintiff.
21	4.18 Defendants' arrest of Plaintiff was also part of and calculated to justify the
22	policy discussed in counts one and two above.

1	4.19 As a direct and proximate result of the violation of his Constitutional
2	rights by Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff suffered general and special
3	damages as alleged in this complaint.
4	4.20 The conduct of Defendants was willful, malicious, oppressive, and/or
5	reckless, and was of such a nature that punitive damages should be imposed in an
6	amount commensurate with the wrongful acts alleged herein.
7	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.
8	
9 10 11 12 13	COUNT FOUR FALSE ARREST (As to All Defendants) 4.21 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations
14	set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 4.20 of this complaint.
15	4.22. Defendants BLANKENSHIP, assisted by PIGMON and SVINTH, after
16	making an improper and pretextural stop of Plaintiff, based on the blatantly unlawful
17	and unjustified 'attempt to locate' alert code put out by Defendants PITTS and
18	GORDON, based solely on supposed political affiliation, detained and then arrested
19	Plaintiff for a serious violation that their own evidence and tests proved he had not
20	committed.
21	4.23. This arrest was based solely on improper motives based on Plaintiff's
22	supposed political affiliations and intent to engage in First Amendment activity, which
23	they sought to and succeeded in preventing him from engaging in.

LAWRENCE A. HILDES (WSBA # 35035) P.O. Box 5405 Bellingham, WA 98227 Telephone: (360) 715-9788 Fax: (360) 714-1791

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

i	4.24. Defendants' detention, seizure and arrest of Plaintiff without probable
2	cause or a warrant violated Plaintiff's rights under Article 1 of the Washington State
3	Constitution, Section 7.4.4, and further resulted in the malicious prosecution which
4	followed, and the harm caused thereby.
5	4.25. The conduct of Defendants was willful, malicious, oppressive and/or
6	reckless, and was of such a nature that punitive damages should be imposed in an
7	amount commensurate with the wrongful acts herein alleged.
8	4.26. As a direct and proximate result of the acts complained of herein,
9	Plaintiff ha suffered general and special damages as set forth in this complaint.
10	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.
11	
12	COUNT FIVE
13	FALSE IMPRISONMENT
14	(As to all WSP Defendants, and Does 1-100)
15	4.27. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations
. 16	set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 4.26 of this complaint.
17	4.28. As a result of the false arrest detailed above, Plaintiff was arrested,
18	taken off to jail, and held for several hours until forced to post bail to gain his release,
19	without cause or justification.
20	4.29. Plaintiff was unjustly deprived of liberty for that period and subjected to

1	4.30. As a further direct and proximate result of the false arrest and
2	imprisonment of Plaintiff, he suffered damages and injuries as heretofore alleged in
3	this complaint.
4	4.31. The conduct of Defendants was willful, malicious, oppressive and/or
5	reckless, and was of such a nature that punitive damages should be imposed in an
6	amount commensurate with the wrongful acts herein alleged.
7	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.
8	
9 10 11 12	COUNT SIX ASSAULT AND BATTERY (As to All Defendants)
13	4.32 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations
14	set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 4.31 of this complaint.
15	4.33. Defendants' physical seizure of Plaintiff, is by definition a use of force,
16	and since it was not based on probable cause, is by definition an unlawful use of
17	force, since it was committed intentionally, without consent or lawful authority, or
18	legitimate police purpose, it therefore constituted common law battery.
19	4.34. All of the above alleged tortious conduct caused Plaintiff to reasonably
20	fear additional imminent harm to his health and safety and additional tortious use of
21	force. This fear constitutes a common law assault.
22	4.35. Defendants knew or reasonably should have known that they were and
23	would inflict this reasonable fear by Plaintiff.

LAWRENCE A. HILDES (WSBA # 35035) P.O. Box 5405 Bellingham, WA 98227 Telephone: (360) 715-9788 Fax: (360) 714-1791

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

1	4.36. As a direct and proximate result of the violation of his rights by		
2	Defendants, and of Defendants' tortious conduct towards Plaintiff, Plaintiff suffered		
3	general and special damages as alleged in this complaint.		
4	4.37 The detention, arrest and the resulting abuse of Plaintiff was directly and		
5	proximately caused by Defendants' training, supervision and failure to discipline, and		
6	the above discussed deliberate policies.		
7	4.38. The conduct of Defendants was willful, malicious, oppressive, and/or		
8	reckless, and was of such a nature that punitive damages should be imposed in an		
9	amount commensurate with the wrongful acts alleged herein.		
10	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.		
11			
12			
13	COUNT SEVEN		
14	INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS		
15	(As to All Defendants)		
16			
17	4.39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations		
18	set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 4.38 of this complaint.		
19	4.40. In carrying out the detention, arrest, charging of Plaintiff with DUI, further		
20	abuse, and other acts alleged throughout this complaint, Defendants, and each of		
21	them sought to cause emotional distress and trauma to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff did suffer		
22	such emotional distress with accompanying physical symptoms.		
	or a modernal diodoco with accompanying physical sympleme.		

LAWRENCE A. HILDES (WSBA # 35035) P.O. Box 5405 Bellingham, WA 98227 Telephone: (360) 715-9788 Fax: (360) 714-1791

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

1	4.41. As a direct and proximate result of the violation of his constitutional				
2	rights by Defendants and their other tortious conduct against him, Plaintiff suffered				
3	general and special damages as alleged in this complaint.				
4	4.42. The conduct of Defendants was willful, malicious, oppressive, extreme				
5	and outrageous and/or reckless, and was of such a nature that punitive damages				
6	should be imposed in an amount commensurate with the wrongful acts alleged herein				
7	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.				
8					
9 10 11 12	COUNT EIGHT Malicious Prosecution (As to all Defendants)				
13	4.43 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations				
14	set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 4.42 of this complaint.				
15	4.44. Defendants' stop, detention, arrest and of Plaintiff was based on a				
16	blatantly false pretext in retaliation for his alleged political affiliations, and the exercise				
17	of Plaintiff's rights under the Washington State Constitution and, gave false				
18	information to the Grays Harbor County Prosecutors' Office, assisting that office in				
19	prosecuting Plaintiff despite his complete lack of illegal activity. These actions				
20	constitute malicious and retaliatory prosecution, a violation of the Revised Code of				
21	Washington Title 9, Chapter 62, Section 10(1). The prosecution, which were				
22	eventually dismissed, was a direct result of the false arrest of Plaintiff				

1	4.45. Defendant Grays Harbor County deliberately prosecuted Plaintiff despite			
2	negative test results and a lock of any evidence that Plaintiff had committed any			
3	violation, and maintained that prosecution for a significant period of time even after the			
4	lab tests came back negative, until finally dismissing the case in the face of a			
5	dismissal motion and pending hearing.			
6	4.46 As a direct and proximate result of the violation of his Constitutional			
7	rights by Defendants and Defendants other tortious conduct, Plaintiff suffered general			
8	and special damages as alleged in this complaint and had to expend personal			
9	resources to hire counsel to vindicate him. This prosecution also further exacerbated			
10	the emotional distress, anxiety, fear, loss of sleep and other physical harm to Plaintiff			
11	and further disrupted his life.			
12	4.47 The conduct of Defendants was willful, malicious, oppressive, and/or			
13	reckless, and was of such a nature that punitive damages should be imposed in an			
14	amount commensurate with the wrongful acts alleged herein.			
15	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth			
16	\cdot			
17 18 19 20	COUNT NINE NEGLIGENCE (As to All Defendants)			
21	4.48 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations			
22	set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 4.47 of this complaint.			

22

1	4.49 Defendants, and each of them, owed Plaintiff a duty to use due care at or
2.	about the times of the aforementioned incidents.
3	4.50 In committing the aforementioned acts and/or omissions, Defendants,
4	and each of them, negligently breached said duty to use due care, directly and
5	proximately resulting in the injuries and damages to the Plaintiff as alleged herein.
6	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.
7 8 9 10 11	
13	V. JURY TRIAL DEMAND
14	5.0 Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial in this matter.
15	
16	VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF
17	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendants as follows:
18	1. For general damages including pain and suffering together with special
19	damages for Plaintiff's reasonable and necessary legal expenses, bail, and medical
20	expenses both past and future, the exact amount of which will be established at the
21	time of trial;
22	2. For punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial pursuant to Federal
23	and State law;

LAWRENCE A. HILDES (WSBA # 35035) P.O. Box 5405 Bellingham, WA 98227 Telaphone: (360) 715-9788 Fax: (360) 714-1791

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

		·
2	1988;	
3	4.	For statutory attorneys fees and costs; and
4	5.	For court supervised training and regulations requiring that the involved
5	agencies a	nd officers not adopt procedures and policies and/or take actions designed
6	to suppres	s First Amendment activity and target participants therein, and or target
7	individuals	and groups based on political affiliations or perceptions thereof.
8	6.	For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
9		
10	DATED: M	arch 4, 2009
11		furnine altiles
12		LAWRENCE A. HILDES
13		Attorney for Plaintiff PHILIP CHINN

For actual attorney's fees and litigation costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C.

3.